International Journal of Language and Literary Studies
Volume 8, Issue 3, 2026
Homepage : http://ijlls.org/index.php/ijlls

Transforming Formative Assessment: The Role of Technology-Enhanced Environments for EFL Teachers

Redouan Baghit
Department of English, Faculty of Arts and Humanities, El Jadida, Morocco
Email: baghit.r@ucd.ac.ma

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36892/ijlls.v8i3.2620
APA Citation: Baghit, R. (2026). Transforming Formative Assessment: The Role of Technology-Enhanced Environments for EFL Teachers. *International Journal of Language and Literary Studies. 8*(3).79-88. https://doi.org/10.36892/ijlls.v8i3.2620

Received: *22/03/2026*

Accepted: *28/04/2026*

Keywords: *formative assessment, technology-enhanced environments, digital tools, real-time feedback, personalized learning*

Abstract

This review examines the impact of technology-enhanced environments on formative assessment by EFL teachers. The main objective is to explore how digital tools affect the effectiveness, equity, and pedagogical practices of formative assessment in diverse EFL classroom contexts. This review poses the following research question: How do technology-enhanced environments impact the effectiveness, equity, and pedagogical practices of formative assessment among EFL teachers in diverse classroom contexts? This study distinguishes itself not only by synthesizing recent literature but by connecting the benefits and challenges of technology-enhanced formative assessment to practical strategies for equitable and impactful EFL learning. A systematic review of the literature identifies the following key findings: (1) Technology enables real-time feedback, supporting faster instructional adjustments. (2) Technology allows personalized learning, leading to more tailored, student-centered instruction. (3) Actionable analytics generated by digital tools help teachers target interventions and track student progress. (4) Technology-enhanced classrooms increase student engagement, support language development, and build learner autonomy. Nevertheless, challenges persist: unequal access to digital resources limits opportunities for some students; teacher training is often insufficient for effective technology use; and data privacy remains a concern. The significance of this review lies in analyzing how current technological trends, implementation barriers, and policy considerations interact to shape outcomes in EFL classrooms. By focusing on both opportunities and persistent inequities, this review offers concrete guidance to educators, administrators, and policymakers aiming to implement technology-enhanced formative assessment effectively. Addressing challenges requires intentional technology design, targeted professional development, and equity-focused policies. Ongoing research remains crucial for creating adaptive and inclusive assessment solutions for EFL learners.

1. INTRODUCTION

Formative assessment drives effective teaching and is evolving with digital technology. Traditionally, teachers monitored understanding to guide decisions (Black & Wiliam, 1998). EFL students have diverse backgrounds; formative assessment offers individualized support (Chraa & Alidrissi, 2024) and benefits from technology. Technology-enhanced environments provide instant feedback, personalized learning, and data-based instruction (Huang et al., 2024). These methods give teachers new assessment options. They allow lesson adaptation, student collaboration, and easier differentiation than traditional methods (Huang et al., 2024). This supports learner-centered teaching. Technology increases transparency and shared responsibility, and enables scalable differentiation (Huang et al., 2024). Schools still face access, teacher readiness, and equity problems (Herppich et al., 2020).

Given these points, this study examines how technology-enhanced environments shape formative assessment for EFL teachers. It draws on recent research and best practices. The review considers both the opportunities and the limits of digital tools and highlights the necessary pedagogical shifts. Building on the issues discussed above, the research centers on this question: How do technology-enhanced environments affect the effectiveness, equity, and pedagogical practices of formative assessment among EFL teachers across diverse classroom contexts? This research question aims to guide educators, administrators, and policymakers seeking to improve EFL learning outcomes. By directly linking the study's aim to classroom realities, the findings address genuine challenges and support the wider implementation of technology-enhanced formative assessment.

Situating this study within the broader context of educational innovation underscores the need for effective and equitable formative assessment strategies in the digital age. Establishing the research's relevance this way sets the stage for an objective analysis of technology's role in EFL classrooms. The literature review and later sections will further elaborate on these points and build on the foundational issues outlined so far.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Defining Formative Assessment in EFL Contexts

Formative assessment collects evidence to guide teaching (Sadler, 1989). In EFL classes, this matters due to students' varied skills and learning paths (Carless, 2007). Teachers use quizzes and peer feedback, but these often lack scale, speed, or personalization (Wiliam, 2011). Brown (2007) defines it as checking progress to support growth. Teachers collect data, adjust plans, and improve results (Carter & Nunan, 2001). Customizing courses depends on up-to-date assessment data. Gipps (1994) emphasized that for formative assessment to be effective, students must share the teacher's understanding of quality, monitor their work as it is produced, and regulate their performance independently.

2.2 Theoretical Perspectives on Technology Integration

Integrating technology in formative assessment is grounded in key educational theories. Constructivist theory (Vygotsky, 1978) states that learning is active and social. Students construct understanding through engagement, collaboration, and feedback. Technology-enhanced environments support these principles. They offer interactive tasks, real-time communication, and peer collaboration. For example, digital discussion boards, collaborative documents, and online simulations let students build knowledge together, regardless of location.

The SAMR model (Puentedura, 2006) divides technology use into four levels: Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, and Redefinition. At the basic level, technology replaces traditional tools — for example, online quizzes replace paper quizzes. As teachers progress, technology enables new learning, such as adaptive assessments with feedback and virtual language practice. The SAMR model encourages teachers to consider how digital tools change formative assessment (Baghit et al., 2024a; Baghit et al., 2024b).

Beyond constructivism and SAMR, the TPACK (Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge) framework is also relevant. TPACK stresses that effective technology use blends content, pedagogy, and digital tools. For formative assessment, this means selecting digital assessments that align with language goals. Together, these theories show that thoughtful, teaching-driven technology use is needed in formative assessment. They also show that ongoing teacher training is important for digital integration.

2.3 Affordances of Technology-Enhanced Formative Assessment

Technology-enhanced environments support formative assessment in EFL classes. They use digital platforms like learning management systems, online quizzes, language apps, and interactive media. These platforms provide instant feedback. Students and teachers can quickly spot strengths and gaps. Immediate feedback lets students fix mistakes, promotes reflection and self-regulation, and helps teachers track progress over time, making learning paths clear and actionable.

These digital tools help teachers adapt activities to individual student needs (Bennett, 2011). Early identification of misconceptions by quizzes or adaptive tasks is key for EFL learners with varied backgrounds. Technology-aided instruction ensures every learner gets personalized support, boosting engagement and success (Nouri, 2024). Analytics give detailed insights into performance and learning, allowing targeted interventions. Teachers can then design remedial or extra activities as needed (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020). Technology enhances formative assessment in EFL contexts, making it more effective, equitable, and responsive.

2.4 Challenges and Equity Considerations

While technology-enhanced formative assessment offers key opportunities, it faces challenges. Four main barriers appear in the literature. First, unequal access to devices and reliable internet prevents some students from participating in digital assessments. This digital divide raises equity issues. It maintains educational gaps, especially in under-resourced areas.

Second, limited training and ongoing professional development stop many teachers from using digital tools effectively in formative assessment. A lack of digital skills and confidence can lower teaching quality. It can also slow adoption of new assessment strategies (Cruz, 2019). To address this, schools must prioritize focused, hands-on training that helps teachers use digital resources confidently and creatively.

Third, the ethical and legal dimensions of technology use in education are often unclear or inconsistently enforced. Concerns about student data privacy, informed consent, and compliance with local and international regulations can cause hesitation among educators and administrators (Florea & Florea, 2020). Schools need clear policies and robust security protocols to protect all stakeholders and build trust in digital assessment systems.

Fourth, the design and user experience of digital assessment tools can significantly influence their effectiveness. Poorly designed platforms may distract students, reduce motivation, or diminish engagement, particularly if the technology does not align with pedagogical goals or is overly complex (Shao et al., 2025). Continuous collaboration between educators, technology developers, and students is crucial to ensure that tools are user-friendly, accessible, and pedagogically sound (Es-sarghini & Boumahdi, 2022).

These challenges are not confined to any one region. For example, in Morocco, Erguig (2009) highlights ongoing problems with infrastructure, teacher training, and the practical use of technology in English teaching. Such obstacles underscore the need for context-sensitive solutions that address local realities. Addressing these multifaceted challenges is crucial for realizing the full promise of technology-enhanced formative assessment and ensuring that digital innovations contribute to more equitable and effective educational practices worldwide.

2.5 Best Practices and Emerging Trends

Recent studies identify a range of best practices for using technology in formative assessment, emphasizing strategies that maximize both educational impact and student engagement. Collaborative platforms, such as discussion forums, shared documents, and peer-review tools, foster a sense of community and encourage active student participation. Interactive media, including videos, simulations, and virtual whiteboards, enable dynamic, multimodal learning experiences tailored to diverse learning styles. Game-based tasks, such as quizzes and challenges, have been shown to enhance motivation and make assessment more engaging and less stressful for EFL learners (Gikandi et al., 2011). Nevertheless, contrasting perspectives

exist regarding these practices. While proponents argue that technology-based tools increase accessibility and efficiency, some teachers express concerns about overreliance on digital assessment methods, suggesting that excessive use might inadvertently reduce opportunities for face-to-face interaction or diminish the authenticity of language practice. These divergent viewpoints highlight the need for a balanced, context-sensitive approach to integrating technological tools into formative assessment.

Moreover, integrating artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning into assessment systems has transformed the feedback process. These technologies enable highly personalized feedback, automate grading, and identify learning gaps with greater accuracy and speed (Luckin et al., 2016). For instance, adaptive learning platforms can adjust the difficulty and content based on individual student performance, ensuring that learners are always working at an optimal level of challenge.

However, the effective implementation of technology-enhanced formative assessment depends on several critical success factors. Purposeful instructional design is essential to ensure that digital tools align with pedagogical objectives and foster meaningful learning. Ongoing teacher support, including access to professional development and technical assistance, is necessary for educators to fully leverage the potential of new technologies. Importantly, inclusive practices — such as designing for accessibility and cultural relevance — directly address specific equity challenges, such as the digital divide and the needs of students from diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds (Chraa & Alidrissi, 2025; Huang et al., 2024).

Additionally, technology streamlines the assessment cycle by enabling virtually instantaneous feedback and collaboration (Sun & Tian, 2026). Teachers can respond to student work in real time, provide targeted guidance, and adjust instruction on the fly to better support individual and group learning needs. This capacity for rapid feedback also supports scalable differentiation, allowing educators to tailor their approaches to diverse classrooms with efficiency and precision (Huang et al., 2024).

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This review adopts a systematic literature review design, focusing on a comprehensive examination of literature published over the last decade. Peer-reviewed journal articles, case studies, and meta-analyses were systematically examined to capture the breadth and depth of technology-enhanced formative assessment practices among EFL teachers. This approach enables an in-depth exploration of how digital tools influence formative assessment, teaching strategies, student engagement, learning outcomes, and the various challenges encountered in diverse educational contexts. However, a systematic literature review design has certain limitations: it is inherently dependent on the availability and quality of existing studies, and may not account for rapidly emerging technologies or unpublished practices. Additionally, the synthesis is constrained by publication and language biases, potentially excluding relevant research that falls outside the selected criteria. Despite these limitations, the review is grounded in current evidence and reflects global trends in EFL education.

3.2 Data Collection

To ensure the collection of high-quality and relevant data, researchers conducted systematic searches across established academic databases, including ERIC, Scopus, and Web of Science. The search strategy utilized multiple keywords and Boolean combinations, such as 'formative assessment,' 'technology-enhanced environments,' 'EFL,' 'digital tools,' and 'real-time feedback.' The selection criteria focused on English-language studies that specifically addressed tertiary EFL contexts and the integration of technology into formative assessment. Studies were included if they contributed empirical findings or theoretical insights into the use of digital tools for formative purposes in EFL classrooms. The final dataset encompassed a

diverse range of research designs, geographic regions, and educational settings, enabling a richer understanding of the phenomenon under investigation.

3.3 Data Analysis

Data analysis followed the principles of thematic analysis as outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). The analytical process involved several stages: familiarization with the literature, generation of initial codes, and systematic coding of studies for key themes such as technology integration, pedagogical approaches, observed benefits, reported challenges, and recommended solutions. Patterns were identified and synthesized across studies to build a nuanced picture of technology-enhanced formative assessment in EFL contexts. Special attention was paid to research gaps and areas warranting further investigation, ensuring that the findings not only summarize existing knowledge but also highlight directions for future research.

4. RESULTS

To directly address the central research question, the results are organized into three key dimensions: effectiveness, equity, and pedagogical practices. Table 1 provides a summary of the main findings across these dimensions. The subsections below elaborate on each dimension and explicitly link the findings to the research question.

Table 1

Summary of Findings Across Key Dimensions

Dimension	Key Findings
Effectiveness	Real-time feedback; personalized learning; actionable analytics; improved student outcomes
Equity	Persistent digital divide; variable access to devices and internet; teacher training disparities
Pedagogical Practices	Data-driven instruction; adaptive learning; collaborative and differentiated approaches

4.1 Effectiveness: Affordances of Digital Tools

The literature indicates that technology-enhanced formative assessment offers numerous affordances for EFL teachers and learners. Digital platforms, such as learning management systems, interactive quizzes, and language learning apps, deliver instant feedback that enables students to identify and correct errors in real time (Shute & Kim, 2014). This immediate feedback loop serves not only to reinforce learning but also to motivate students, as they can track their progress and celebrate incremental improvements. Adaptive technologies further personalize the learning experience by adjusting the difficulty and content of assessment tasks to match each student's proficiency level, ensuring that instruction remains appropriately challenging (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020). Collaborative digital tools, including shared documents and discussion boards, facilitate peer assessment, group work, and the development of critical thinking skills (Gikandi et al., 2011). When considered within the broader context of EFL outcomes, these affordances contribute to improved language acquisition, greater learner autonomy, and enhanced engagement. By supporting individualized feedback, fostering collaborative learning, and enabling ongoing assessment, technology-enhanced formative assessment not only addresses immediate instructional needs but also promotes sustained improvement in overall EFL proficiency and achievement.

4.2 Impact on Teaching Practices

Technology-enhanced formative assessment has brought marked changes to teaching practices in EFL settings. Teachers utilize learning analytics to inform lesson planning, differentiate instruction, and identify learning gaps with greater precision (Bennett, 2011). The availability of real-time data allows educators to provide timely, targeted interventions and flexible support to students who may be struggling. Moreover, technology facilitates the integration of multimedia resources and gamified tasks, such as interactive games and simulations, which have been shown to boost student motivation and engagement (Luckin, Holmes, Griffiths, & Forcier, 2016; Zhang & Crawford, 2023). The shift towards more dynamic, data-driven instruction has also prompted teachers to engage in ongoing professional development and to adopt more reflective, evidence-based pedagogical strategies. However, the effective implementation of these practices relies on purposeful instructional design, access to high-quality professional learning, and a steadfast commitment to educational equity (Chraa & Alidrissi, 2025). These findings directly address the research question by illustrating how technology influences pedagogical practices, supporting adaptive, collaborative, and differentiated instruction.

4.3 Student Outcomes

The reviewed studies consistently demonstrate that technology-enhanced classrooms are associated with improved student engagement, skill acquisition, and learner autonomy (Huang et al., 2024). Immediate feedback helps students develop a clearer understanding of their strengths and areas for growth, promoting self-regulation and goal-setting behaviors. Personalization through adaptive learning technologies supports deeper mastery of EFL content, as students can progress at their own pace and receive support tailored to their unique needs. Additionally, the use of collaborative, interactive digital tools encourages active participation and peer learning, both of which are critical for language development.

Nevertheless, the research also underscores persistent barriers, such as limited access to devices, unreliable internet connectivity, and low levels of digital literacy, particularly in under-resourced or rural contexts, as reported in Moroccan studies (Baghit et al., 2024a). These findings highlight significant equity concerns and the pressing need for targeted, comprehensive solutions. Policy priorities should focus on infrastructure development, expanding internet and device access, and establishing ongoing digital literacy programs for students and teachers. These measures, along with careful monitoring to ensure resources reach disadvantaged populations, are essential to narrowing the digital divide and providing equitable opportunities for all students in technology-enhanced formative assessment.

4.4 Challenges and Limitations

Despite the clear benefits, several challenges impede the widespread and effective adoption of technology-enhanced formative assessment. Key issues identified in the literature include inadequate access to digital devices or reliable internet, insufficient professional development for teachers, and ongoing concerns about student data privacy and security. Some educators remain skeptical about the reliability and validity of automated assessment systems, particularly when assessing complex language skills. Additionally, poorly designed or unintuitive digital platforms can negatively affect student engagement, especially among those with limited technology experience (Mekheimer, 2025). Overcoming these challenges requires comprehensive strategies, including targeted investment in infrastructure, sustained teacher training, clear policy frameworks for data protection, and the collaborative design of user-friendly digital assessment tools. Successfully addressing these obstacles is essential for realizing the full potential of technology-enhanced formative assessment in EFL education.

5. DISCUSSION

A critical comparison of the literature reveals that while technology-enhanced environments provide EFL teachers with dynamic formative assessment opportunities, the extent and nature of these benefits are contested. Although instant feedback, adaptive learning tools, and analytics constitute major advancements over traditional assessment practices, their effectiveness depends on several mediating factors. Counterarguments in the literature point to practical limitations: for instance, questions remain about the scalability and long-term sustainability of these digital tools, particularly in classrooms constrained by inadequate technological infrastructure. Some studies argue that such disadvantages may exacerbate existing educational inequalities, thereby limiting the universal applicability of technology-driven formative assessment models. Additionally, scholars contend that technical sophistication alone does not guarantee pedagogical innovation or improved learning outcomes, as successful integration often requires substantial teacher support, ongoing professional development, and alignment with local curricular and cultural contexts (Bennett, 2011; Mekheimer, 2025). These perspectives underscore that the potential of technology-enhanced assessment is contingent on broader systemic and contextual factors that warrant careful consideration.

Furthermore, there is an ongoing debate about the balance between digital and traditional forms of formative assessment. While many sources celebrate increased engagement and learner autonomy in technology-rich environments (Huang et al., 2024; Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020), others caution that excessive reliance on digital platforms may undermine opportunities for authentic, face-to-face interaction, which remains integral to language acquisition (Gikandi et al., 2011). Some studies suggest that the motivational benefits of gamified and interactive assessments are uneven across student populations, indicating that technology-enhanced methods can sometimes reinforce, rather than mitigate, existing inequities (Shao et al., 2025).

The discussion also highlights differences across sociocultural and institutional contexts. For instance, case studies from under-resourced regions such as Morocco stress ongoing infrastructure challenges and warn that technological interventions, without context-sensitive teacher training or policy alignment, may fail to produce equitable improvements in EFL outcomes (Erguig, 2009; Es-sarghini & Boumahdi, 2022). By contrast, studies conducted in more technologically developed settings report greater success in integrating real-time data-driven practices, yet even here, the complexity of teacher adaptation and ongoing professional development surfaces as a persistent challenge (Wyss & Robinson, 2020).

Overall, the current evidence base suggests a nuanced, contingent impact of technology-enhanced formative assessment. While opportunities for innovation abound — including the use of AI to personalize feedback and facilitate authentic language practice — gaps remain regarding the long-term effectiveness, equity, and ethical considerations of these approaches. A more critical comparison of these varied findings underscores the need for future research that addresses not only technological efficacy but also contextual adaptability, the preservation of humanistic teaching elements, and concrete strategies to mitigate persistent inequities in digital education.

5.1 Redefining Formative Assessment

Technology-enhanced environments are fundamentally reshaping the nature of formative assessment in EFL education. These digital environments make assessment more dynamic, responsive, and learner-centered than ever before. Instant feedback mechanisms, adaptive learning technologies, and data analytics empower teachers to respond in real time to individual student needs. As a result, instruction becomes more personalized, and students are more engaged in their own learning. This shift supports the creation of classrooms where collaboration, reflection, and active participation are the norm (Vygotsky, 1978). However, realizing these benefits at scale requires educators to set clear goals, embrace best practices in the design and selection of digital tools (Shute & Kim, 2014), and engage in ongoing

professional development to build digital competence. Moreover, deliberate efforts to address equity — by improving access to devices, internet connectivity, and digital literacy — are essential to ensure that all learners benefit from these advancements (Van Dijk, 2020).

5.2 Opportunities for Innovation and Sustainable Impact

The rapid evolution of technology presents unprecedented opportunities to innovate formative assessment practices. Emerging tools, such as AI-driven assessment systems, immersive virtual reality environments, and adaptive learning platforms, are enabling teachers to deliver highly individualized feedback and create authentic, student-centered learning experiences (Baghit et al., 2024b). For instance, virtual simulations can place students in realistic language-use scenarios, fostering practical skill development and deeper engagement. AI-powered analytics further enhance teachers' ability to diagnose learning gaps and intervene proactively, while also supporting students' self-regulation and metacognitive growth by providing actionable insights into their progress (Huang et al., 2024). To harness these innovations for lasting impact, schools and policymakers must move beyond short-term pilots and embed effective practices into curricula and professional development programs. Collaborative efforts among educators, technologists, and researchers will be vital for refining tools, ensuring responsible adoption, and scaling best practices. Equally important are ethical guidelines and robust privacy protections, which are necessary to safeguard student data and establish trust in digital assessment systems (Wyss & Robinson, 2020).

5.3 Limitations and Future Research

While this review synthesizes a growing body of evidence on technology-enhanced formative assessment, several limitations must be acknowledged. Much of the current research is context-specific and may not be generalizable across all educational settings or cultural backgrounds. The rapid pace of technological change also means that findings can quickly become outdated. To advance the field, an actionable research agenda should prioritize the following directions: longitudinal studies are needed to evaluate the sustained effects of digital formative assessment tools on both student learning and teacher practice (Sortwell et al., 2024); mixed-method and experimental designs should be adopted to uncover the underlying mechanisms by which technology influences formative assessment outcomes; targeted comparative research must investigate which professional development approaches most effectively facilitate teacher adoption of technology in EFL contexts. Furthermore, investigating models of technology integration that are demonstrably scalable across institutions with varying resource levels is critical. Given the limited availability of comprehensive cross-cultural studies, future research should also undertake large-scale, cross-national comparisons that examine how cultural, linguistic, and contextual factors affect students' experiences and outcomes with technology-enhanced assessment (Huang et al., 2024). Addressing these gaps through a coordinated, systematic research agenda will be essential to developing formative assessment innovations that are both effective and widely applicable.

6. CONCLUSION

Technology-enhanced environments transform formative assessment for EFL teachers, offering dynamic, inclusive, and adaptive solutions for diverse learners. As this review's main findings demonstrate, digital tools enable teachers to provide real-time feedback, personalize instruction using actionable analytics, and foster meaningful collaboration, thereby supporting improved learner outcomes and greater autonomy. To fully realize these benefits, educators should prioritize the intentional design of accessible digital assessment systems and participate in ongoing professional development in educational technology. Leaders and administrators should conduct regular audits to identify gaps in device and internet access, and then allocate targeted resources to support disadvantaged learners. Additionally, implementing equity-

driven policies — including continuous digital literacy training for teachers and students and clear protocols for data privacy — is essential. Policymakers, administrators, and practitioners must collaborate to develop practical frameworks that guarantee all students benefit from high-quality technology-enhanced formative assessment. By taking these targeted, actionable steps, stakeholders can foster more effective and equitable EFL learning experiences and better prepare learners for success in an increasingly digital world.

7. IMPLICATIONS

The implications of these findings necessitate concrete actions for policy and practice. Educational systems should conduct regular audits to identify gaps in student access to devices and the internet, and then allocate resources to ensure the most disadvantaged learners receive priority support. In addition, implementing mandatory and ongoing professional development programs focused on digital formative assessment will better prepare teachers to integrate technology effectively. Policymakers must enact and enforce comprehensive guidelines for ethical technology use and data privacy, including clear procedures for obtaining informed consent and protocols for safeguarding student information. Researchers should design intervention studies that test context-specific solutions, such as mobile-based assessments for low-resource settings or adaptive training modules for educators, to address continuing challenges in equity and implementation. By translating these recommendations into actionable initiatives, stakeholders can enhance the effectiveness, inclusivity, and ethical soundness of technology-enhanced formative assessment for EFL learners. Sustained monitoring and iterative research will be essential to adapt these approaches as technological and educational landscapes continue to evolve.

8. REFERENCES

Baghit, R., Erguig, R., & Boudlal, A. (2024a). Moroccan graduate EFL students' perceptions of online assessment: Benefits and challenges. Journal of Applied Language and Culture Studies, 7(2), 23-40. https://revues.imist.ma/index.php?journal=JALCS

Baghit, R., Laaboudi, D., & Erguig, R. (2024b). A study of the impact of online feedback on Moroccan EFL university students' listening proficiency. Arab World English Journal, 15(4), 150-167. https://dx.doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol15no4.10

Bennett, R. E. (2011). Formative assessment: A critical review. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 18(1), 5-25. https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594X.2010.513678

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 5(1), 7-74. https://doi.org/10.1080/0969595980050102

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Brown, H. D. (2007). Principles of language learning and teaching (5th ed.). Pearson Education.

Carless, D. (2007). Learning-oriented assessment: Conceptual bases and practical implications. Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 44(1), 57-66. https://doi.org/10.1080/14703290601081332

Carter, R., & Nunan, D. (Eds.). (2001). The Cambridge guide to teaching English to speakers of other languages. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511667206

Chraa, A., & Alidrissi, M. (2024). Optimizing EFL learners' outcomes in formative assessment through educational technology integration. International Journal of Language and Literary Studies, 7(3), 2141-2155. https://doi.org/10.36892/ijlls.v7i3.2141

Chraa, A., & Alidrissi, M. (2025). [Recent work on EFL formative assessment and educational technology]. International Journal of Language and Literary Studies.

Cruz, D. (2019). Instructional skills of technical-vocational and livelihood track teachers. Journal of Technical Education and Training, 11(2), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.21303/2581-7467.2019.001.02.1

Erguig, R. (2009). The use of information technologies and audiovisual media in ELT: The Department of English in El-Jadida, Morocco, as a case study. Porta Linguarum, 11, 115-128. https://doi.org/10.30827/digibug.31842

Es-sarghini, A., & Boumahdi, A. (2022). An overview of the adoption of eAssessment among Moroccan primary school teachers: Factors and challenges. International Journal of Changes in Education. https://doi.org/10.47852/bonviewIJCE42022192

Florea, D., & Florea, S. (2020). Big data and the ethical implications of data privacy in higher education research. Sustainability, 12(20). https://doi.org/10.3390/su12208744

Gikandi, J. W., Morrow, D., & Davis, N. E. (2011). Online formative assessment in higher education: A review of the literature. Computers & Education, 57(4), 2333-2351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.06.004

Gipps, C. (1994). Developments in educational assessment: What makes a good test? Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 1(3), 283-292. https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594940010304

Herppich, S., Praetorius, A. K., & Kleickmann, T. (2020). Assessment for learning in English as a foreign language classroom: Teachers' beliefs and practices. Language Teaching Research, 24(4), 501-524. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168818814451

Huang, H., Mills, D. J., & Tiangco, J. A. (2024). Inquiry-based learning and technology-enhanced formative assessment in flipped EFL writing instruction: Student performance and perceptions. SAGE Open, 14(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241236663

Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y.-K. (2020). Utilizing learning analytics for study success: Reflections on current empirical findings. Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 15(1), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-020-00138-6

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education. Pearson.

Mekheimer, M. (2025). Technological self-efficacy, motivation, and contextual factors in advanced EFL e-learning: A mixed-methods study of strategy use and satisfaction. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 12. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-04947-0

Nouri, N. (2024). Formative testing program for undergraduate EFL Moroccan students. European Journal of Education Studies. https://doi.org/10.46827/ejes.v7i5.3699

Puentedura, R. R. (2006). Transformation, technology, and education. Retrieved from http://hippasus.com/resources/tte/

Sadler, D. R. (1989). Formative assessment and the design of instructional systems. Instructional Science, 18(2), 119-144.

Shao, Y., Wu, J., Li, Y., Lu, Q., & Wang, Z. (2025). The impact of digital technology use on EFL students' English academic performance: The mediating roles of emotional intelligence and learning engagement. BMC Psychology, 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-025-02967-8

Shute, V. J., & Kim, Y. J. (2014). Formative and stealth assessment. In J. M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. Elen, & M. J. Bishop (Eds.), Handbook of research on educational communications and technology (pp. 311-321). Springer.

Sortwell, A., Trimble, K., Ferraz, R., Geelan, D. R., Hine, G., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Carter-Thuiller, B., Gkintoni, E., & Xuan, Q. (2024). A systematic review of meta-analyses on the impact of formative assessment on K-12 students' learning: Toward sustainable quality education. Sustainability, 16(17). https://doi.org/10.3390/su16177826

Sun, X., & Tian, H. (2026). AI-enhanced skill assessment in higher vocational education: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Informatics, 13(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics13020020

Van Dijk, J. (2020). The digital divide. Polity Press.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.

Wiliam, D. (2011). What is assessment for learning? Studies in Educational Evaluation, 37(1), 3-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2011.03.001

Wyss, M. C., & Robinson, J. P. (2020). Scaling quality education calls for scaling effective teacher professional development. Brookings. https://www.brookings.edu/articles/scaling-quality-education-calls-for-scaling-effective-teacher-professional-development/

Zhang, Z., & Crawford, J. (2023). EFL learners' motivation in a gamified formative assessment: The case of Quizizz. Education and Information Technologies, 29(6). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12034-7